COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0010-01

Bill No.: Perfected HB 2

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - General; Revenue Dept.

Type: Original

Date: September 9, 2011

Bill Summary: Would change certain provisions relating to the collection of state

revenues.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		
General Revenue	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)	Unknown to (Unknown)		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		
Conservation Commission	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
Parks, and Soil and Water	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		
Missouri Supplemental Disaster Recovery	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown		

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 13 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014		
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2012 FY 2013 FY 20					
Local Government (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown)					

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of the Governor, the Office of the State Treasurer, the Office of the Attorney General, the Office of Administration, Administrative Hearing Commission, the Department of Economic Development, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Higher Education, the Department of Public Safety, State Emergency Management Agency, the State Tax Commission, the Missouri Gaming Commission, the Missouri Lottery, the MoDOT and Highway Patrol Employees' Retirement System, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, the Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement, the Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, St. Louis County, and the City of Belton assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning**, (BAP) assume the proposed legislation would not result in additional costs or savings to their organization.

DOR collections

BAP officials stated that this proposal would increase General and Total State Revenue by improving tax collection procedures on delinquent taxes and/or debt owed to the state.

- * 32.028, 32.410-32.460 centralized debt collection
- * 32.058 -- first class mail
- * 32.087 1% cost of collection retention
- * 32.385 -- would allow the Department of Revenue and the Office of Administration to enter into a reciprocal collection and offset program with the federal government.
- * 105.716 "No tax due" statements for Legal Expense Fund payments.
- * 140.910 administrative garnishments

BAP officials deferred to the Department of Revenue for estimates of the costs and increased revenue collections resulting from these operational efficiencies and programs.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Tax Amnesty

BAP officials assume the proposal would create an amnesty from accrued penalties and interest on unpaid taxes, if taxes are appropriately filed during a period from January 1, 2012, to June 30, 2012. This proposal appears to be similar to the amnesty program in FY 2003. BAP estimates that \$75 million in revenues was received under that program, including \$50 million already identified from DOR investigations completed or in process. That \$50 million is part of the revenue base when the consensus revenue estimates are determined for FY 2012 and future years. BAP estimates \$25 million of these revenues were "new" revenues from previously unidentified sources. Of these figures, approximately 70% would pertain to General Revenue.

Officials from the **Office of Administration, Information Technology Services Division** (ITSD) stated that ITSD would postpone other projects to absorb costs estimated at 900-1,000 hours of application development with a minimum of 3 people working on it (2 programmers and 1 tester). ITSD officials estimated a total elapsed time of 3 months but already have the project design documented.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** (DOR) provided the following response.

The tax amnesty provisions would have minimal impact on FY 2012, but could increase state revenue in FY13. Because the legislation includes known tax liabilities, some portion of the increase will reflect acceleration of the collection of liabilities that DOR would have collected after FY 2012 and FY 2013. The proposal would reduce collection of interest and penalties that would have been paid in FY 2012, FY 2013, and subsequent years. The proposal could also have the same effect on local sales and use tax interest and penalties. Other provisions included in this legislation would increase revenues in future fiscal years.

DOR and ITSD-DOR would need to make programming changes to tax systems to identify filers and returns eligible for amnesty, and reimpose penalty and interest if the taxpayer is not in compliance for eight years. DOR would create an amnesty form and notices to issue to taxpayers that detail amounts owed and amounts eligible to be waived under the amnesty provisions.

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Revenue impact (millions of dollars)

Statute Sections	Subject	FY 2012 General Revenue	FY 2012 All Funds	FY 2013 General Revenue	FY 2013 All Funds	FY 2014 General Revenue	FY 2014 All Funds
32.028, 32.410, 32.420, 32.430, 32.440, 32.450, 32.460	Centralized State Debt Collections	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.75	\$1.00	\$4.00	\$6.00
32.058	Certified mail	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$1.00	\$1.00	\$1.00	\$1.00
32.087	One percent collection fee	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$0.35	\$0.35	\$0.35	\$0.35
32.383	Tax Amnesty	\$2.00	\$2.40	\$18.00	\$21.60	\$0.00	\$0.00
32.385	Federal offset	\$1.00	\$1.20	\$3.00	\$3.50	\$6.00	\$7.00
105.716, 140.910	Garnishments	\$1.30	\$1.30	\$3.00	\$3.00	\$3.00	\$3.00

DOR officials estimated the tax amnesty provisions could have a positive impact on Total State Revenue in FY 2012 and FY 2013 of up to \$74 million. However, DOR officials also stated that up to \$50 million of the \$74 million would be already identified by the department. DOR officials assume that an overwhelming majority of the \$50 million, plus interest and penalties, could be collected without amnesty. Therefore, DOR officials estimated that net new revenues would be \$24 million.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Based on the 2003 tax amnesty, DOR officials expect that the majority of amnesty payments would be made in June of 2012, at the end of the month, and would not be received and processed until FY 2013.

DOR cost to implement the provisions

Sections 32.028 and 32.400 to 32.460

- * Systems upgrade of \$1.5 million
- * Professional services of \$561,000
- * Postage of \$86,250

Section 32.383

- * Based on 2011 estimates, there are approximately 490,000 known taxpayers eligible for amnesty.
- * Postage, envelopes and printing estimate is $490,000 \times 5.505 = 247,450$
- * Taxation Division processing costs of approximately \$350,000 for the following:

Overtime to review correspondence-\$100,000 Overtime to review errors on returns-\$73,000

Existing staff and temporary employees to key returns and process payments-\$145,000

Customer contacts-\$30,000

Much of the amnesty program cost would be incurred in FY 2013, due to the bulk of the work being received towards the end of the tax amnesty period, and because staff available to work overtime in FY 2012, would be working overtime on their daily job functions for tax season.

DOR officials also recommend an advertising budget of at least \$400,000.

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Section 32.385

DOR would incur postage costs of \$37,400 and the Office of Administration would incur postage costs of approximately \$660 and professional fees of \$93,500. The fees are to the federal government for offsets on behalf of the state.

DOR officials also commented that a number of states and political subdivisions have contracted with private vendors to run their amnesty programs and have seen very good results. The state would not perform many of the requirements noted above, which would reduce the out-of-pocket cost to implement the program. Funds to administer the program could be based on the percentage of the debts collected.

Oversight assumes that the cost to operate the program with DOR staff would be significantly lower than the cost to contract with a vendor since contracted collection programs normally operate on a percentage-of-collections basis, and DOR staff would still be required to process returns and correspondence and to correct errors on returns.

IT impact to implement the provisions

DOR officials estimated the IT impact of this proposal to be \$120,946, based on 4,564 hours of programming time to make changes to DOR systems.

Oversight assumes ITSD-DOR is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes ITSD-DOR could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, ITSD-DOR could request funding through the appropriation process.

Oversight assumes that DOR has or could identify the approximately \$50 million in outstanding balances from existing filers without the amnesty program; however, those taxes would be collected over a period of several years while the amnesty program would most likely achieve collection of the taxes due in FY 2012 and FY 2013. Interest and penalties due on those delinquent taxes would not be collected, however. Oversight also notes that DOR has indicated additional revenues from the amnesty program for FY 2012 and FY 2013. For fiscal note purposes, Oversight will indicate additional revenues greater than \$100,000 for FY 2012 and FY 2013, and unknown additional revenues for FY 2014 due to the extended compliance requirement.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that this proposal would require DOR to deposit all collections from the amnesty program, except for those which are earmarked by the Missouri Constitution, into the state General Revenue Fund. Accordingly, Oversight will indicate an unknown positive fiscal impact from this proposal in FY 2013 for the Conservation Commission Fund and the Parks and Soils Sales Tax Funds. Other state funds and local governments which would receive additional tax collections under existing provisions would not have a fiscal impact from the amnesty program.

Oversight also notes that this proposal would authorize DOR to waive penalties, interest, and additions to tax which would be applied and collected under existing provisions regarding delinquent tax administration. Oversight assumes the additional taxes collected would exceed the penalties, interest and additional taxes which would have been collected, and for fiscal note purposes only will indicate an unknown revenue reduction in the General Revenue Fund.

Oversight will also indicate unknown costs in excess of \$100,000 in FY 2013 for the Department of Revenue to administer the amnesty program and for the consulting, system upgrade, and additional postage.

These provisions would:

- * Section 99.845.15. Make up to fifty percent of state disaster recovery available for appropriation to the Department of Economic Development Supplemental Disaster Recovery Fund, for distribution to affected municipalities.
- * Section 99.845.16-17 Require an appropriation for any transfer from the General Revenue Fund to the Supplemental Disaster Recovery Fund, and restrict municipalities' expenditures of state funds allocated to disaster recovery.
- * Section 99.845.18-21 Establish specific requirements for local redevelopment plans, including approval by the Department of Economic Development. No funds could be distributed or expended prior to approval of the plan.
- * Section 99.845.22 Create the Missouri Supplemental Disaster Recovery Fund to be administered by the Department of Economic Development.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume that similar provisions in HB 8 would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

SS:LR:OD (12/02)

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development** (DED) stated that similar provisions in HB 8 would revise the Tax Increment Financing (TIF) program to include disaster recovery revenues. DED officials stated that if additional FTE or other resources are needed to administer the program, they would be requested through the budget process.

Oversight assumes the provision allowing an appropriation from the General Revenue Fund for disaster recovery would have a fiscal impact from \$0 to (Unknown).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>Unknown to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>Unknown to</u> <u>(Unknown)</u>	Unknown to (Unknown)
Revenue reduction - foregone interest, penalties, and additions to tax	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
Appropriations - to Missouri Supplemental Disaster Recovery Fund	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
<u>Cost</u> - Department of Revenue	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
Additional revenue - federal reciprocal agreement (32.385)	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
Additional revenue - tax amnesty program (32.383)	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	<u>Unknown</u>
Additional revenue - retention from collections (32.087)	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
Additional revenue - collection procedure improvements (32.028, 32.058, 32.410, 32.430, 32.440, 32.450, 32.460, 105.716, 140.910)	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON MISSOURI SUPPLEMENTAL DISASTER RECOVERY	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Payments to Super TIF Districts	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)
Appropriations - From General Revenue Fund	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
MISSOURI SUPPLEMENTAL DISASTER RECOVERY			
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON PARKS, AND SOIL AND WATER FUND	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
Additional revenue - amnesty program and improved collection procedures	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
PARKS, AND SOIL AND WATER FUND			
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
Additional revenue - amnesty program and improved collection procedures	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND			
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government (continued)	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government FY 2012 FY 2013 FY 2014 (10 Mo.)

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Revenue reduction - Department of

Revenue collection percentage (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown)

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would change certain provisions relating to the collection of state revenues.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Governor Office of the State Treasurer Office of the Attorney General Office of Administration

> Administrative Hearing Commission Division of Budget and Planning

Department of Economic Development Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Higher Education Department of Public Safety

State Emergency Management Agency

Department of Revenue State Tax Commission

Missouri Gaming Commission

Missouri Lottery

MoDOT and Highway Patrol Employees' Retirement System

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement

Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

St. Louis County

City of Belton

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

September 9, 2011